Country: **Finland**	River Basin Management Plan no **3** (2021 – 2027)	
Chemical status of water bodies		
Costal waters	Total: **276** Good chemical status: - Poor chemicals status: **100 %** 276 (with PBDE) 28 (without PBDE)) 18 (Hg exceedances) Unknown chemicals status:	
Water courses	Total: **1960** Good chemical status: - Poor chemicals status: **100 %** 1960 (with PBDE) 645 (without PBDE) 623 (Hg exceedances) Unknown chemicals status:	
Lakes	Total: **4640** Good chemical status: - Poor chemicals status: **100 %** 4640 (with PBDE) 2959 (without PBDE) 2955 (Hg exceedances) Unknown chemicals status:	
Groundwater	Total: **3913** Good chemical status: **93,5 %** Poor chemicals status: **2,3 %** Unknown chemicals status: **4,2 %**	
Topic 1 – Measures Pls. list any identified specific and/or general measures for surface water and groundwater. Pls. also indicate particular difficulties encountered in relation to the identification process	Groundwater Measures are written in quite general way, but they can contain specific measures, such as using potassium formate instead chloride in deicing. Cleaning contaminated soils and groundwaters is the most direct measure against pollution. Other measures are mostly research and preventing type of measures.	Surface water Measures are written in quite general way, but they can contain specific measures.
Topic 2 – The Post 2027 Challenge Pls. share thoughts on how to handle waterbodies not in good chemical status post 2027	So far the only clear plan/goal is having the measures be completed by 2027 and applying the exemption on natural conditions to those water bodies that are not in good status. Also	

	less stringent objectives will most likely be applied but it is not yet clear how widely.
Topic 3 – Methods for calculating/-assessment of the deterioration Pls. share info on how your MS approaches waterbodies where increased discharges could result in a measurable increase in the concentration of that substance?	